THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.1894 of 2007

Dated:02.02.2007

Between:

Abdul Rub and others.

...Petitioners

and

The Secretary to Govt., and others.

...Respondent

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.1894 of 2007

ORDER:

The petitioners who are twelve in number filed the instant Writ Petition seeking a writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in evicting them from the plots situated at Taj Nagar, Weaker Section Colony, Gopanpally Village of Serilingampally Mandal in Ranga Reddy District, as illegal and arbitrary. They also seek a consequential direction not to dispossess them from the plots.

It is the case of the petitioners that they purchased small extents of house sites under registered documents, which are comprised in Survey No.124 situated at Gopanpally Village of Serilingampally Mandal in Ranga Reddy District. The petitioners also allege that the Government is claiming the land, but did not initiate any action. However, on a complaint given by the Mandal Revenue Officer, third respondent herein, the fourth respondent is trying to interfere with the possession of the petitioners by using coercive methods. A copy of the letter addressed by the third respondent to the fourth respondent is also filed in support of such allegation.

After getting instructions, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (General-T) submits that the petitioners were only prevented from raising further construction, and the respondents never interfered with the possession of the petitioners in view of the directions issued

by this Court in W.P.No.17685 of 2004.

In view of the submission made by the learned Assistant Government Pleader, this Court is of considered opinion that the Writ Petition is filed on a mere apprehension. If for any reason, the respondents are required to take action they have to follow the necessary procedure under law. This observation would be sufficient redressal for the petitioners for the present.

The Writ Petition, with the above observation, is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

02.02.2007
vs